

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,028	07/11/2003	Dennis Hurley Byrne	TUC920030027US1	TUC920030027US1 4010	
46335 7	590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
DILLION & YUDELL, LLP			PUROL, SARAH L		
8911 N CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY SUITE 2110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX	78759		3634		
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/618,028	BYRNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sarah Purol	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 J	une 2006					
	s action is non-final.					
<u></u>	,					
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
_						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-10 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 11</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	= : *	` '	R 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3634

OFFICE ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,4,5,6,7,10,12,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howard 4,819,801, best seen in Figure 1. Note frame 10 having front and rear 16 and 18; top and bottom 14; sidewalls having an aperture formed between the slats 22,24 which make up the sidewall. Note partitions 30,32 defining slots. Note the rear formed of slats 16,18 has an opening. The slats engage the tapes 20 in a snug yet compliant matter. The top edges of slats 16,18 form part of the top satisfying the limitations of claims 4 and 13.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard in view of Clausen 6,039,190. Howard teaches the device absent the integral plastic construction. Clausen teaches integral plastic construction of a cassette frame. To therefore form the Howard device of integral plastic for the purpose of durability as

Art Unit: 3634

taught by Clausen would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 9 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard in view of Baxter 6,112,910. Howard teaches the device absent the opening in the front top, bottom and partitions. Baxter teaches openings in the top, bottom and partitions in figure 1. Although Baxter doesn't disclose these openings for simultaneously allowing access to all the cartridges, this design would inherently allow it. Therefore to modify Howard to include the openings as taught by Baxter for the purpose of allowing simultaneous access to all the cassettes would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Claims 2 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3634

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Applicant asserts that Howard does not teach the claimed partitions or slots as recited in independent claims 1 and 10. Applicant also asserts that structure 30 does not have a top and bottom as claimed.

The Examiner takes exception with these assertions and remains of the position that the features recited in independent claims 1 and 10. Elements 30 are seen as being "partitions" in that they separate the "slots" 32 in which the tape cartridge is held.

The Examiner indicated allowable subject matter in the first office action in the subject matter of claims 2 and 11 and would encourage the applicant to incorporate this subject matter into the independent claims 1 and 10.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Purol. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. Tue. Thurs. For general questions (or to request a formal interview) relating to this application please e-mail the examiner at Sarah.Purol@USPTO.GOV.

The examiner prefers e-mail to telephone correspondence whenever possible.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sarah Purol

Primary Examiner

Page 5

AU 3634